



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/361,700      | 07/27/1999  | PIERRICK DESCURE     | S1022/8201          | 5850             |

7590 05/13/2003

JAMES H MORRIS  
WOLF GREENFIELD & SACKS PC  
FEDERAL RESERVE PLAZA  
600 ATLANTIC AVENUE  
BOSTON, MA 022102211

EXAMINER

MUNSON, GENE M

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

2811

DATE MAILED: 05/13/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

361,700



## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

## Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231

|                    |             |                       |                     |
|--------------------|-------------|-----------------------|---------------------|
| APPLICATION NUMBER | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED APPLICANT | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. |
|--------------------|-------------|-----------------------|---------------------|

|          |
|----------|
| EXAMINER |
|----------|

G. MUNSON

|          |              |
|----------|--------------|
| ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
|----------|--------------|

22

DATE MAILED:

## INTERVIEW SUMMARY

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) R. SKRIVANEK

(3)

(2)

(4)

Date of Interview 8 May 2003

Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative).Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☐ No If yes, brief description:Agreement ☐ was reached. ☒ was not reached.

Claim(s) discussed: 1

Identification of prior art discussed: Koike et al, Nagasaki et al

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed

rejections under 35 USC 102 and 103. Discussed Fig. 2c of this application relative to Fig. 2 of Koike et al.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

1. ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

2. ☐ Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an attachment to another form.

FORM PTOL-413 (REV.1-96)

Gene M. Munson

GENE M. MUNSON  
EXAMINER  
GROUP ART UNIT 2531